QCD in external magnetic fields

Gergely Endrődi

Goethe University of Frankfurt







 B1. Jahrestagung der DPG Münster, 28. March 2017 in collaboration with

Gunnar Bali, Falk Bruckmann, Zoltán Fodor, Matteo Giordano, Sándor Katz, Tamás Kovács, Stefan Krieg, Ferenc Pittler, Andreas Schäfer, Kálmán Szabó, Jacob Wellnhofer

Outline

- preface: magnetic fields and lattices
- lattice quantum chromodynamics
 - role of Landau levels
 - order parameter
 - magnetic catalysis
- nonzero temperature
 - phase diagram
 - applications
- summary

Magnetic fields and lattices

Experiments

 conductance of graphene lattices in strong magnetic fields [Ponomarenko et al Nature 497 '13, Dean et al Nature 497 '13] [Hunt et al Science 340 '13]



2 / 21

Landau versus Bloch

- free charged particle
- exposed to magnetic field in continuum space: Landau orbits



Landau versus Bloch

- free charged particle
- exposed to magnetic field in continuum space: Landau orbits
- on a (crystal) lattice: Bloch waves





Landau versus Bloch

- free charged particle
- exposed to magnetic field in continuum space: Landau orbits
- on a (crystal) lattice: Bloch waves



• what happens if the two are combined?

Hofstadter's butterfly [Hofstadter '76]



- relativistic electrons in a magnetic field in 2D [Endrődi '14] $B_{\rm max} \propto 1/a^2$



► Landau levels are visible at low *B* [Endrődi '14] $\lambda^2 = 2n \cdot B$







Translation to lattice QCD

From quantum mechanics to QCD

- non-interacting electrons ~>> strongly interacting quarks smears out butterfly
- quantum mechanics ~> quantum field theory with path integral

$${\cal Z}=\int {\cal D} {\cal A}_\mu\, {\cal D}ar\psi\, {\cal D}\psi\, {\sf e}^{-{\sf S}}$$

$$S = \int d^4 x \left[\frac{1}{4} \operatorname{Tr} \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} + \bar{\psi} (\not{\!\!D} + m) \psi \right], \qquad \not{\!\!D} = \gamma_\mu (\partial_\mu + ig_s \mathcal{A}_\mu + iq \mathcal{A}_\mu)$$

► crystal ~→ lattice as a regulator

 $p_{
m max} pprox 1/a$

continuum limit: $a \rightarrow 0$

 ▶ energies (measureable) → Dirac eigenvalues (not measureable)

The butterfly in lattice QCD

The butterfly in lattice QCD

 $g_s \rightarrow 100\%$ 1 0.8 0.6 B / B_{max} 0.4 0.2 0 -1.5 -1 -0.5 0.5 -2 0 1 1.5 2 Im(λ)

• continuum limit $a \rightarrow 0$ /

 \Rightarrow lowest Landau-level can be separated in full QCD (related to topology) [Bruckmann, Endrődi et al '16]

The phases of QCD

 physical observable in QCD: condensate of quarks with mass m

$$\bar{\psi}\psi = \sum_{\lambda} \frac{m}{\lambda^2 + m^2}$$

• for m = 0: order parameter for chiral symmetry breaking

$$\mathrm{SU}_{\mathrm{L}}(N_f) \times \mathrm{SU}_{\mathrm{R}}(N_f) \xrightarrow{\bar{\psi}\psi} \mathrm{SU}_{\mathrm{LR}}(N_f)$$

 physical observable in QCD: condensate of quarks with mass m

$$\bar{\psi}\psi = \sum_{\lambda} \frac{m}{\lambda^2 + m^2}$$

• for m = 0: order parameter for chiral symmetry breaking

$$\mathrm{SU}_{\mathrm{L}}(N_f) \times \mathrm{SU}_{\mathrm{R}}(N_f) \xrightarrow{\bar{\psi}\psi} \mathrm{SU}_{\mathrm{LR}}(N_f)$$



 physical observable in QCD: condensate of quarks with mass m

$$\bar{\psi}\psi = \sum_{\lambda} \frac{m}{\lambda^2 + m^2}$$

• for m = 0: order parameter for chiral symmetry breaking

$$\mathrm{SU}_{\mathrm{L}}(N_f) \times \mathrm{SU}_{\mathrm{R}}(N_f) \xrightarrow{\bar{\psi}\psi} \mathrm{SU}_{\mathrm{LR}}(N_f)$$





Phases of QCD

chiral symmetry breaking and confinement go hand in hand

 $\bar{\psi}\psi$ \Leftrightarrow P

can be probed by the temperature



[Borsányi et al '10, Bruckmann, Endrődi et al '13]

Phases of QCD

chiral symmetry breaking and confinement go hand in hand

 $\bar{\psi}\psi$ \Leftrightarrow P

can be probed by the temperature



[Borsányi et al '10, Bruckmann, Endrődi et al '13]

Phases of QCD

chiral symmetry breaking and confinement go hand in hand

 $\bar{\psi}\psi$ \Leftrightarrow P

can be probed by the temperature



[Borsányi et al '10, Bruckmann, Endrődi et al '13]

[Aoki, Endrődi et al '06]

Condensate in magnetic fields

Landau-levels



lowest Landau-level:

- λ well below other eigenvalues
- multiplicity is proportional to magnetic flux $\Phi = B \cdot L^2$

higher Landau-levels:

- $\blacktriangleright \ \lambda > \sqrt{2B}$
- if B sufficiently large, only lowest LL matters

 physical observable in QCD: condensate of quarks with mass m

$$ar{\psi}\psi = \sum_{\lambda} rac{m}{\lambda^2 + m^2}$$

• for $B \gg m^2$, lowest LL approximation

$$ar{\psi}\psi\sim {\it BL}^2\sum_{\lambda\in {
m \ lowest\ LL}}rac{m}{\lambda^2+m^2}$$

▶ implication: ψψ increases with B 'magnetic catalysis' [Gusynin et al '95]

Magnetic catalysis at T = 0

magnetic catalysis from first principles
 [Bali, Bruckmann, Endrődi, Fodor, Katz, Schäfer '12]



Magnetic catalysis at T = 0

magnetic catalysis from first principles
 [Bali, Bruckmann, Endrődi, Fodor, Katz, Schäfer '12]



Magnetic catalysis at T = 0

magnetic catalysis from first principles
 [Bali, Bruckmann, Endrődi, Fodor, Katz, Schäfer '12]





lowest Landau-level

₩

magnetic catalysis

Nonzero temperature

Condensate at nonzero temperatures



Condensate at nonzero temperatures



 going to finite temperatures changes the response qualitatively inverse magnetic catalysis around T_c [Bruckmann, Endrődi, Kovács '13]

Condensate at nonzero temperatures



 going to finite temperatures changes the response qualitatively inverse magnetic catalysis around T_c [Bruckmann, Endrődi, Kovács '13]

Phase diagram

impact on the QCD phase diagram: T_c(B) decreases
 [Bali, Bruckmann, Endrődi, Fodor, Katz, Krieg, Schäfer, Szabó '11]



Phase diagram for very strong fields

- ▶ go to even stronger *B*-fields
- nature of transition changes from crossover to first order [Endrődi '15]



Applications

Applications: heavy-ion collisions

 off-central events generate magnetic fields [Kharzeev, McLerran, Warringa '07]





- strength: $B=10^{15}~{
 m T}pprox 10^{20}B_{
 m earth}pprox 5m_\pi^2$
- impact: chiral magnetic effect, anisotropies, elliptic flow, hydrodynamics with B, ... reviews: [Fukushima '12] [Kharzeev, Landsteiner, Schmitt, Yee '14] [Kharzeev '15]

Applications: magnetars

neutron stars with strong surface magnetic fields

[Duncan, Thompson '92]





- strength on surface: $B = 10^{10} \text{ T}$
- strength in core (?): $B = 10^{14} \text{ T} \approx 10^{19} B_{\text{earth}} \approx 0.5 m_{\pi}^2$
- impact: convectional processes, mass-radius relation, gravitational collapse/merger [Anderson et al '08], ...

Applications: early universe



- ► large-scale intergalactic magnetic fields $10 \ \mu\text{G} = 10^{-9} \ \text{T}$
- origin in the early universe
- ▶ generation through a phase transition: electroweak epoch $B \approx 10^{19}$ T [Vachaspati '91, Enqvist, Olesen '93]

Applications: low-energy models

 effective theories / low energy models of QCD: incorporate relevant degrees of freedom / mechanisms for example PNJL model [Gatto, Ruggieri '11]



- models work at low T but not around T_c
- phase diagram just the opposite of the lattice results
- some important ingredient is missed [Andersen, Naylor '14]
 [Braun et al '14, Müller et al '15, ...]

Applications: low-energy models

 effective theories / low energy models of QCD: incorporate relevant degrees of freedom / mechanisms for example PNJL model [Gatto, Ruggieri '11]



- models work at low T but not around T_c
- phase diagram just the opposite of the lattice results
- some important ingredient is missed [Andersen, Naylor '14]
 [Braun et al '14, Müller et al '15, ...]





Backup

Hofstadter's butterfly [Hofstadter '76]



- true fractal structure (if the lattice is infinite)
- energies accumulate into bands if flux Φ ∈ Q (2π/a² and qB are commensurable)
- energies isomorphic to the Cantor set if Φ ∉ Q (2π/a² and qB are incommensurable)

Hofstadter's butterfly [Hofstadter '76]



- true fractal structure (if the lattice is infinite)
- energies accumulate into bands if flux Φ ∈ Q (2π/a² and qB are commensurable)
- energies isomorphic to the Cantor set if Φ ∉ Q (2π/a² and qB are incommensurable)

Mechanism behind MC and IMC

- two competing mechanisms at finite *B* [Bruckmann,Endrődi,Kovács '13]
 - direct (valence) effect $B \leftrightarrow q_f$
 - indirect (sea) effect $B \leftrightarrow q_f \leftrightarrow g$

$$\left\langle \bar{\psi}\psi(B) \right\rangle \propto \int \mathcal{D}A_{\mu} \, e^{-S_g} \underbrace{\det(\mathcal{D}(B,A)+m)}_{\text{sea}} \underbrace{\operatorname{Tr}\left[(\mathcal{D}(B,A)+m)^{-1}\right]}_{\text{valence}}$$



Mechanism behind MC and IMC

- two competing mechanisms at finite B [Bruckmann,Endrődi,Kovács '13]
 - direct (valence) effect $B \leftrightarrow q_f$
 - indirect (sea) effect $B \leftrightarrow q_f \leftrightarrow g$

